Case3:09-mc-80275-SI Document17 Filed12/14/09 Page1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5	SCHIFF HARDIN LLP JEFFREY R. WILLIAMS (Cal. Bar No. jrwilliams@schiffhardin.com ALEX P. CATALONA (Cal. Bar No. 20 acatalona@schiffhardin.com One Market, Spear Street Tower, 32 nd Flo San Francisco, CA 94105 Telephone: (415) 901-8700 Facsimile: (415) 901-8701	0901)	
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff USA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT		
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11	USA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,	Case No. CV 09-80 275 MISC (SI)	
12	Plaintiff-Respondent,	USA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S	
13	v.	OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE	
14	JOHN DOE, a.k.a., "STOKKLERK,"	SUBMITTED BY JOHN DOE, A.K.A. STOKKLERK, WITH ITS	
15	et al.,	REPLY BRIEF	
16	Defendant-Movant.	Date: December 18, 2009	
17		Time: 9:00 a.m.	
18		Courtroom: 10, 19th Floor Judge: Hon. Susan Illston	
19			
20	PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that USA TECHNOLOGIES, INC. ("USAT")		
21	hereby objects to the evidence offered by	John Doe, a.k.a., Stokklerk ("Stokklerk")	
22	with his reply brief as follows:		
23			
24	Supplemental Declaration of Matthew Zi	mmerman, Para. 1 and 4, and Exhibit 3.	
25	USAT objects to Stokklerk's introduction of "press releases issued by SAVE,		
26			
27	attested to by Stokklerk's attorney, Matthew Zimmerman. This evidence is not		
28			
SCHIFF HARDIN LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO	LICA TECHNOLOGIES ING'S OBJEC	CTIONS TO STOKKI ERK'S EVIDENCE	
		TO UNIX THE STUDY OF THE RESERVE OF	

Case3:09-mc-80275-SI Document17 Filed12/14/09 Page2 of 3

1	submitted by a declarant with personal knowledge or adequate foundation as		
2	required by Federal Rules of Evidence 602 and 901. This evidence is also		
3	inadmissible hearsay barred by Federal Rule of Evidence 802. USAT may not		
4	timely respond to this purported evidence because Stokklerk submitted it with its		
5	reply brief.		
6	Supplemental Declaration of Matthew Zimmerman, Para. 1 and 5, and Exhibit 4.		
7	Objection to "all of the other posts in the individual threads in which		
8	Defendant's statements were made," attested to by Stokklerk's attorney, Matthew		
9	Zimmerman. The posts which Stokklerk has submitted with his reply brief are		
10	purportedly made by third parties to this litigation, they are unauthenticated		
11	hearsay, and USAT has not had the opportunity to verify their relevance or		
12	accuracy, or to provide a written response.		
13	DATED D 1 14 2000 D (C.11 1 1 1 1 1		
14	DATED: December 14, 2009 Respectfully submitted, SCHIFF HARDIN LLP		
15			
16	By: /s/ Alex Catalona		
17	By: /s/ Alex Catalona Alex P. Catalona, (Bar No. 200901) Attorneys for Plaintiff		
18	USA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	2		
LLP .w	- 2 -		

SCHIFF HARDIN LLI ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO

Case3:09-mc-80275-SI Document17 Filed12/14/09 Page3 of 3

1	Certificate of Service	
2		
3	The undersigned hereby certifies that all counsel of record who are deemed	
4	to have consented to electronic service were served the 14 th day of December, 2009,	
5	with a copy of USA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO	
6	EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY JOHN DOE, A.K.A. STOKKLERK, WITH	
7	ITS REPLY BRIEF via the Court's CM/ECF system. I certify that all parties in	
8	this case are represented by counsel who are CM/ECF participants.	
9		
10	DATED: December 14, 2009 SCHIFF HARDIN LLP	
11		
12	By: /s/ Alex Catalona	
13	Alex P. Catalona, (Bar No. 200901) Attorneys for Plaintiff	
14	USA TÉCHNOLOGIES, INC.	
15	SF\9523187.1	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
DIN LLP At Law cisco	- 3 -	
	USA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.'S OBJECTIONS TO STOKKLERK'S EVIDENCE	

SCHIFF HARDIN LLE ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN FRANCISCO