1 2 3 4 5 6 7	DANIEL A. HOROWITZ (SBN 92400) Attorney at Law P.O. Box 1457 Lafayette, California 94549 Telephone: (925) 283-1863 Attorney for Plaintiff	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
11		
12	MICHAEL SAVAGE,	Case No. C 07-6076 SI
13	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF IAN K. BOYD IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION
14	V.	FOR AN AWARD OF ATTORNEYS' FEES
15	COUNCIL ON AMERICAN-ISLAMIC	AND COSTS
16	RELATIONS, INC., COUNCIL ON AMERICAN-ISLAMIC RELATIONS ACTION	Date: November 14, 2008 Time: 9:00 a.m.
17	NETWORK, INC., COUNCIL ON AMERICAN-ISLAMIC RELATIONS OF	The Honorable Susan Illston
18	SANTA CLARA, INC. and DOES 3-100,	
19	Defendants.	
20		
21		
22	I, Ian K. Boyd, declare as follows:	
23	1. I am a partner in the law firm of Harvey Siskind LLP. I have personal knowledge of	
24	the matters stated herein, and, if called as a witness, I could and would testify competently thereto.	
25	2. On or about August 26, 2008, Daniel Horowitz, counsel of record for Plaintiff, called	
26	to advise me that he had received a telephone call from Matthew Zimmerman, counsel for	
27	Defendants, regarding a motion for attorneys' fees ("Fees Motion") that Defendants planned to file.	
28	-	-1-

1Mr. Horowitz asked me if I would agree to contact Mr. Zimmerman on behalf of Plaintiff and I2agreed to do so.

3

4

5

6

7

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

3. On August 26, 2008, I placed a telephone call to Mr. Zimmerman. Mr. Zimmerman advised me that Defendants intended to file the Fees Motion, but that he was not yet in a position to meet and confer. Later that same day, Mr. Zimmerman sent me an e-mail confirming that he was still consulting with his clients and advised me that he would try to get back to me by "tomorrow." A true and correct copy of Mr. Zimmerman's e-mail is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

8 4. Mr. Zimmerman did not contact me on August 27. On August 28, I again spoke with
9 Mr. Zimmerman, and he again advised me that he was not yet in a position to meet and confer
10 regarding the Fees Motion.

5. On August 29, 2008, Defendants filed the Fees Motion. At no point prior to filing the Fees Motion did Mr. Zimmerman meet and confer with me. Defendants' failure to meet and confer prior to filing the Fees Motion is in violation of Local Rule 54-6 (a), which states that "[c]ounsel for the respective parties must meet and confer for the purpose of resolving all disputed issues relating to attorney's fees before making a motion for award of attorney's fees."

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing
is true and correct and that this declaration was executed this 20th day of October, 2008, in San
Francisco, California.

Ian K. Boyd

-2-

EXHIBIT A

Ian,

Thank you for your call earlier today regarding CAIR's upcoming fees motion in the Savage v. CAIR matter. I'm still consulting with my clients about the matters we discussed and will aim to get back to you by tomorrow.

Thanks again,

Matt

--

Matthew Zimmerman Senior Staff Attorney Electronic Frontier Foundation 454 Shotwell Street San Francisco, CA 94110 ph: (415) 436-9333 x127 / fx: (415) 436-9993 mattz@eff.org / www.eff.org