	Case3:08-cv-04373-VRW Docume	ent50-1 Filed11/10/09 Page1 of 5
1	MICHAEL F. HERTZ	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
	Deputy Assistant Attorney General	CINDY COHN (145997)
2	JOSEPH H. HUNT	LEE TIEN (148216)
3	ANTHONY J. COPPOLINO PAUL E. AHERN	KURT OPSAHL (191303)
4	U.S. Department of Justice	KEVIN S. BANKSTON (217026) JAMES S. TYRE (083117)
4	Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch	454 Shotwell Street
5	20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Rm. 6102	San Francisco, CA 94110
6	Washington, D.C. 20001	Telephone: (415) 436-9333
	Tel: (202) 514-4782/Fax: (202) 616-8460 Attorneys for Government Defendants	Fax: (415) 436-9993
7	Miorneys for Government Defendants	RICHARD R. WIEBE (121156)
8	ANN M. RAVEL	LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD R. WIEBE
0	Deputy Assistant Attorney General	425 California Street, Suite 2025
9	TIMOTHY P. GARREN ANDREA W. MCCARTHY	San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-3200
10	JAMES R. WHITMAN	Fax: (415) 433-6382
11	U.S. Department of Justice	
	Civil Division, Torts Branch	Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12	P.O. Box 7146, Ben Franklin Station	
13	Washington, DC 20044-7146 Tel: (202) 616-4169/Fax: (202) 616-4314	
14	Attorneys for Individual Capacity Defendants	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
19		
20	CAROLYN JEWEL et al.,) Case No. C:08-cv-4373-VRW
21	Plaintiffs,) EXHIBIT 1 TO
22	v.	 JOINT MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER REGARDING
23	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY et al.,) PRESERVATION OF EVIDENCE
24) DKT. 393 IN 06-CV-1791-VRW
25	Defendants)) Chief Judge Vaughn R. Walker
26)
27		
28	Exhibit 1 to Joint Motion for Entry of Order Regardin Jewel et al. v. National Security Agency et al., Case No. (-

	Case3:08-cv-04373-VRW Document50-1 Filed11/10/09 Page2 of 5	
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7		
7		
8		
9 10	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	IN RE: MDL Docket No 06-1791 VRW	
12	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY ORDER	
13	TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION	
14	This Document Relates To:	
15	ALL CASES	
16		
17	/	
18		
19	Plaintiffs have moved for an order prohibiting the	
20	alteration or destruction of evidence during the pendency of this	
21	action. MDL Doc # 384. The United States has filed papers	
22	opposing the motion, Doc # 386, and has prepared and lodged with	
23	the court a confidential submission designed for ex parte, in	
24	camera review. Doc # 387. Telephone company defendants AT&T,	
25	Cingular, Bellsouth, Sprint and Verizon have joined in the United	
26	States's opposition to plaintiffs' motion. Doc # 365, 388, 390.	
27	Upon careful review of the non-confidential papers	
28	submitted in support of and in opposition to the motion, the court	

United States District Court For the Northern District of California has determined that (1) no hearing on the motion is necessary; (2) an order requiring the preservation of evidence is appropriate; and (3) an interim order shall forthwith enter requiring the parties to take steps to prevent the alteration or destruction of evidence as follows:

6 Until the issues in these proceedings can be further Α. 7 refined in light of the guidance and directives anticipated to be 8 received upon appellate review of the court's decision in Hepting v 9 AT&T Corporation, 439 F Supp 974 (N D Cal 2006) and of the Oregon 10 district court's decision in <u>Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc v</u> Bush, 451 F Supp 2d 1215 (D Or 2006), the court reminds all parties 11 12 of their duty to preserve evidence that may be relevant to this 13 action. The duty extends to documents, data and tangible things in 14 the possession, custody and control of the parties to this action, 15 and any employees, agents, contractors, carriers, bailees or other 16 non-parties who possess materials reasonably anticipated to be 17 subject to discovery in this action. Counsel are under an 18 obligation to exercise efforts to identify and notify such non-19 parties, including employees of corporate or institutional parties.

20 в. "Documents, data and tangible things" is to be 21 interpreted broadly to include writings, records, files, 22 correspondence, reports, memoranda, calendars, diaries, minutes, 23 electronic messages, voicemail, e-mail, telephone message records 24 or logs, computer and network activity logs, hard drives, backup 25 data, removable computer storage media such as tapes, disks and 26 cards, printouts, document image files, web pages, databases, 27 spreadsheets, software, books, ledgers, journals, orders, invoices, 28 bills, vouchers, checks, statements, worksheets, summaries,

2

Case3:08-cv-04373-VRW Document50-1 Filed11/10/09 Page4 of 5

compilations, computations, charts, diagrams, graphic
presentations, drawings, films, digital or chemical process
photographs, video, phonographic, tape or digital recordings or
transcripts thereof, drafts, jottings and notes. Information that
serves to identify, locate, or link such material, such as file
inventories, file folders, indices and metadata, is also included
in this definition.

8 C. "Preservation" is to be interpreted broadly to 9 accomplish the goal of maintaining the integrity of all documents, 10 data and tangible things reasonably anticipated to be subject to 11 discovery under FRCP 26, 45 and 56(e) in this action. Preservation 12 includes taking reasonable steps to prevent the partial or full 13 destruction, alteration, testing, deletion, shredding, 14 incineration, wiping, relocation, migration, theft, or mutation of 15 such material, as well as negligent or intentional handling that 16 would make material incomplete or inaccessible.

17D. Counsel are directed to inquire of their respective18clients if the business practices of any party involve the routine19destruction, recycling, relocation, or mutation os such materials20and, if so, direct the party, to the extent practicable for the21pendency of this order, either to

22

(1) halt such business processes;

23 (2) sequester or remove such material from the business 24 process; or

(3) arrange for the preservation of complete and accurate duplicates or copies of such material, suitable for later discovery if requested.

28

3

Case3:08-cv-04373-VRW Document50-1 Filed11/10/09 Page5 of 5

The most senior lawyer or lead trial counsel representing each party shall, not later than December 14, 2007, submit to the court under seal and pursuant to FRCP 11, a statement that the directive in paragraph D, above, has been carried out.

The clerk is directed to vacate the hearing now scheduled for November 15, 2007 in this matter.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

VAUGHN R WALKER United States District Chief Judge