C 06-3596 VRW

FENWICK & WEST LLP

FOR REMAND

		Case M:06-cv-01791-VRW Document 91-1	Filed 12/18/2006 Page 2 of 4
	1		
	2	DENNIS P. RIORDAN, et al.,	Case No. C-06-3574 VRW
	3	Plaintiffs,	
	4	V.	
	5	VERIZON COMMUNICATIONS, INC., a corporation; and DOES 1 through 20,	
	6 7	Defendants.	
	8	TOM CAMPBELL, et al.,	Case No. C-06-3596 VRW
	9	Plaintiffs,	Cuse 110. C 00 3370 VIV
	10	V.	
	11	AT&T COMMUNICATIONS OF	
	12	CALIFORNIA, et al.,	
ST LLP	13	Defendants.	
Fenwick & West LLP Attorneys at Law San Francisco	14	ADDITIONAL	L COUNSEL
	15		
	16	PETER ELIASBERG (CSB No. 189110)	
	17	CLARE PASTORE (CSB No. 135933) AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION	
	18	FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA 1616 Beverly Boulevard	A
	19	Los Angeles, CA 90026 Telephone: 213/977-9500	
	20	Facsimile: 213/250-3919 peliasberg@aclu-sc.org, cpastore@aclu-sc.org	
	21	DAVID BLAIR-LOY (CSB No. 229235)	
	22	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF SAN DIEGO/IMPERIAL C	OUNTIES
	23	P.O. Box 87131 San Diego, CA 92138	
	24	Telephone: 619/232-2121 Facsimile: 619/232-0036 dblairloy@aclusandiego.org	
	25	<u>uotamoy w actusalidiego.org</u>	
	26		
	27		
	28	DI AINTENECO CIDA DEL MENTO DE DECENTO	
		PLAINTIFFS' STATEMENT OF RECENT DECISIONS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR REMAND	MDL-06-1791 CASE NOS. C 06-3574 VRW C 06-3596 VRW

1	Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 7-3(d), Plaintiffs Dennis P. Riordan, et al. and Tom		
2	Campbell, et al. submit Plaintiffs' Joint Statement of Recent Decisions in Support of Plaintiffs'		
3	Motions for Remand. Attached hereto are copies of the following:		
4	1. Voicenet Commc'ns, Inc. v. Corbett, Civ. A. No. 04-1318, 2006 WL 2506318,		
5	2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 61916 (E.D.Pa. Aug. 30, 2006) (attached as Exhibit 1) (concluding, based		
6	on review of legislative history, that "language [in 18 U.S.C. Section 2708 that 'remedies and		
7	sanctions described in this chapter are the only judicial remedies and sanctions for non-		
8	constitutional violations of this chapter'] was enacted principally to ensure that violations of the		
9	ECPA would not be used as the basis for excluding evidence under the statutory exclusion		
10	rule").		
11	2. California v. H&R Block, Inc., No. C 06-2058, 2006 WL 2669045, 2006 U.S. Dist		
12	LEXIS 69472 (N.D. Cal Sep. 26, 2006) (attached as Exhibit 2)(applying the "well-pleaded		
13	complaint rule" to order remand because, inter alia, under Grable & Sons Metal Products, Inc. v.		
14	Darue Eng. & Mnfrg., Inc., 545 U.S. 308 (2005), in order for a case to "arise under" federal law,		
15	"the elements of the state claim which involve a federal issue must be 'essential parts of the		
16	plaintiffs' cause of action'").		
17			
18	Dated: December 18, 2006 Respectfully,		
19	FENWICK & WEST LLP		
20			
21	By: /s/ Laurence F. Pulgram Laurence F. Pulgram		
22	-		
23	Attorneys for Plaintiffs AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION		
2425	FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA Ann Brick, Nicole A. Ozer		
26	AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION FOUNDATION OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA		
27	Peter Eliasberg, Clare Pastore		
28			
	DV 4 TAY MAY DE COMPANIE COMPA		

C 06-3596 VRW

MOTIONS FOR REMAND