1	Jon B. Eisenberg, California Bar No. 8827 William N. Hancock, California Bar No. 1	
2	Eisenberg & Hancock LLP 1970 Broadway, Suite 1200 • Oakland, CA	94612
3	510.452.2581 – Fax 510.452.3277	
4	Steven Goldberg, Oregon Bar No. 75134 (
5	River Park Center, Suite 300 • 205 SE Spoka 503.445-4622 – Fax 503.238.7501	ine St. Portiand, OR 9/202
6	Thomas H. Nelson, Oregon Bar No. 78315	(nelson@thnelson.com)
7	P.O. Box 1211, 24525 E. Welches Road • W 503.622.3123 - Fax: 503.622.1438	elches, OR 9/06/
8	Zaha S. Hassan, California Bar No. 184696 (zahahassan@comcast.net)	
9	P.O. Box 1168• Lake Oswego, OR 97034 360.213.9737 - Fax 866.399.5575	
10	J. Ashlee Albies, Oregon Bar No. 051846 (
11	Steenson, Schumann, Tewksbury, Creight 815 S.W. Second Ave., Suite 500 • Portland,	
12	503.221.1792 – Fax 503.223.1516	
13	Lisa R. Jaskol, California Bar No. 138769 610 S. Ardmore Ave.• Los Angeles, CA 900	
14	213.385.2977 – Fax 213.385.9089	
15	Attorneys for Plaintiffs Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., Wendell Belew and Asim Ghafoo	
1)		
		STATES DISTRICT COURT
16		STATES DISTRICT COURT RN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16 17	FOR THE NORTHED IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY	
16 17 18	FOR THE NORTHE	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW)
16 17 18 19	FOR THE NORTHED IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
16 17 18 19 20	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et	NOTIFICATION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN
16 17 18 19 20 21	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Obama, et al. (C07-CV-0109-VRW)	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
116 117 118 119 220 221 222	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Obama, et al. (C07-CV-0109-VRW) AL-HARAMAIN ISLAMIC FOUNDATION, INC., et al.,	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Obama, et al. (C07-CV-0109-VRW) AL-HARAMAIN ISLAMIC	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Obama, et al. (C07-CV-0109-VRW) AL-HARAMAIN ISLAMIC FOUNDATION, INC., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. BARACK H. OBAMA, President of the	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Obama, et al. (C07-CV-0109-VRW) AL-HARAMAIN ISLAMIC FOUNDATION, INC., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. BARACK H. OBAMA, President of the United States, et al.,	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR
113 116 117 118 119 220 221 222 233 224 225 226 227	IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS RECORDS LITIGATION This Document Relates Solely To: Al-Haramain Islamic Foundation, Inc., et al. v. Obama, et al. (C07-CV-0109-VRW) AL-HARAMAIN ISLAMIC FOUNDATION, INC., et al., Plaintiffs, vs. BARACK H. OBAMA, President of the	NOTION OF CALIFORNIA) MDL Docket No. 06-1791 VRW) DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN) SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR

DECLARATION OF J. ASHLEE ALBIES IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ATTORNEY'S FEES MDL DOCKET NO. 06-1791 VRW

I, J. Ashlee Albies, hereby declare as follows:

- 1. I make this declaration of my own personal knowledge and could testify thereto if called as a witness.
- 2. I graduated from Lewis and Clark Law School in 2005 and was admitted to the Oregon bar that same year. During law school, I served as a law clerk for the Lewis and Clark Legal Clinic in Portland, OR, providing legal services to low income residents of Portland. In addition, I served as a law clerk for the Center for Constitutional Rights in New York, where I worked on international human rights cases, as well as cases involving government misconduct. From November 2005 through February of 2008, I was in solo practice, focusing on plaintiff-side litigation in the areas of family, employment, and civil rights law in Portland, OR. From February 2008 through the present, I have been a litigation associate at Steenson, Schumann, Tewksbury, Creighton & Rose, PC in Portland, OR where I continue to litigate plaintiff-side employment and civil rights cases. I have litigated cases in both federal and state court, at both the trial and appellate levels.
- 3. I also serve as counsel representing the Center for Constitutional Rights in its challenge to the same NSA wiretapping program. That case is currently pending in the Northern District of California, Case No. 3:07-cv-01115-VRW. I have presented at several Continuing Legal Education seminars on Civil Rights, specifically on the topics of civil rights and the First Amendment and on changes to Federal Law in the area of Civil Rights. In addition, I serve on the Oregon State Bar Civil Rights Section Executive Committee, and serve as Chair of the Portland Chapter of the National Lawyers Guild.
- 4. Almost all of my practice involves representation of clients in cases on a contingent basis (primarily involving constitutional and civil rights issues).
- 5. I joined the litigation team in this case prior to the complaint being filed on February 28, 2006. I provided preliminary research and analysis on key issues in this case prior to filing, and continued to provide research and analysis throughout this litigation, including the defendants' interlocutory appeal to the Ninth Circuit and the case's MDL transfer from Oregon to the San Francisco Bay Area.
 - 6. I maintained time records in this case in the same manner as I maintain time records

for all of my other direct billing and contingent cases. Throughout the course of my work, I contemporaneously record the time spent on specific tasks for my work that day. However, over the course of this litigation, I have changed offices and used two different billing programs.

7. Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 54-5(b)(2), I provide the following statement of the services I have rendered in this case and summary of the time I have spent providing those services. At the Court's request, and pursuant to Civil Local Rule 54-5(b)(2), I am prepared to produce my contemporary time records or an abstract thereof for *in camera* inspection by the Court, if the Court deems it appropriate.

Preparation for filing of initial complaint (2/5/06 - 2-27/06)

• Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel for drafting and process for filing complaint: 18.3 hours.

Litigation in Oregon (2/28/06-12/20/06)

- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel, drafting regarding discovery, privileges, classification and sealing issues and pending related litigation, hearing on sealed document (3/2/06-4/17/06): 54.8 hours.
- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel, drafting for memorandum in opposition to ex parte filing by defendants, filings re: Oregonian motion to unseal (4/18/06-4/23/06): 33.5 hours.
- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel regarding state secrets and other privileges, assessment of other pending litigation, compiling litigation materials (5/1/06-5/23/06): 32.7 hours.
- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel for motion to compel discovery, access to document, opposition to defendant's motion to dismiss based on state secrets privilege, review of potential legislation (5/16/06- 8/29/06): 52.5 hours.
- Review and analysis of sur-reply memorandum on ex parte filing by defendants (5/25/06-5/26/06): 1.0 hours.
- Assist co-counsel to prepare for, attend 8/29/06 hearing on defendants' motion to dismiss (8/17/06 -8/29/06): 3.5 hours.

- Review MDL decision and defendants' request for stay pending further MDL proceedings, consultations with co-counsel, review opposition to stay request (8/18/06-9/25/06): 5.6 hours.
- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel re: interlocutory appeal standards, potential motions, case status: (9/25/06 10/24/06): 27.9 hours
- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel, draft and edit motion for summary judgment, review of exhibits, response to government's objections to filing of documents, preparation for oral argument, telephone hearing (10/23/06 11/1/06): 30.5 hours.
- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel re: immunity issues, funding request (11/7/06 12/5/06): 48.2 hours.

Litigation in the Northern District of California (12/20/06 - present)

- Consultations with co-counsel re: strategy, review and analysis of related pending litigation (1/7/07 4/19/07): 5.7 hours.
- Research, analysis, consultations with co-counsel regarding defendant's Ninth Circuit brief, review, editing, and drafting of plaintiff's Ninth Circuit filing, travel to and assist in preparation for oral argument, post argument analysis (5/31/07 8/28/07): 31.5 hours.
- Post-9th Circuit decision work including analysis of decision, consultations with cocumsel to discuss strategy, research on legislative history of FISA, prepare and file notice of change of address (11/28/07 2/11/08): 11.7 hours.
- Analysis, research, consultations with co- counsel re: government's motion to dismiss, plaintiff's response, assist with preparation and travel to hearing on government's motion to dismiss (3/15/08 4/23/08): 12.3 hours.
- Review and analysis of July District Court opinion, consultations with co-counsel, analysis, legal research re: service issues, review of amended complaint (7/3/08 7/21/08): 3.6 hours.
- Analysis, legal research, consultations with co-counsel, drafting and editing of plaintiff's motion pursuant to 1805(f) and response to government's third motion to dismiss, assist with preparation for and travel to oral argument in San Francisco, review and analysis of potential legislative action (10/7/08 12/18/08): 18.1 hours.

- Review of Court's January 2009 opinion, consultation and analysis with co-counsel, analysis, research, consultations with co-counsel, drafting and editing of case management statement, motion to dismiss and opposition, review government declassification report, opposition to government motion to stay, review and follow up on Court's show cause order, preparation for and travel to show cause hearing (1/5/09 6/5/09): 33.2 hours.
- Analysis, research, consultations with co-counsel, editing and review of plaintiff's motion for summary judgment and reply brief, review/analysis of government opposition, preparation and travel to hearing in San Francisco, review of Inspector General's report on NSA program, review/edit plaintiff's motion to strike, review and analysis of new cases on state secrets privilege (6/11/09 9/23/09): 21.5 hours.
- Analysis, legal research, consultations with co-counsel re: March 2010 District Court opinion and post-decision strategy, punitive damages, review/edit of punitive damages memo (3/31/10 5/5/10): 11.9 hours.
- Research into cost recovery, preparation of fee declaration (6/25/10 (6/29/09)): 9.5 hours.
- 8. Based on the foregoing, my total hours in this case are 467.2. Using the Laffey matrix hourly rate of \$294 (for attorneys with 4 to 7 years of experience), I request an award of reasonable attorney fees in the amount of \$137,356.80.
- 9. I also incurred certain litigation costs in this matter. Those costs include travel costs to San Francisco for court hearings. I believe those costs are properly reimbursable insofar as this case was transferred from the District of Oregon, where it was initially properly filed, to the Northern District as a result of defendants' motion to the Joint Panel on Multidistrict Litigation. Further, on information and belief, the standard practice in the Northern District of California is for attorneys to bill their clients for such travel expenses and messenger charges separate from their hourly rates. The expenses I am seeking reimbursement for are as follows:

August 2007: Ninth Circuit oral argument \$441.60

April 2008: Court hearing on Motion to Dismiss \$199.00

December 2008: Court hearing on Motion to Dismiss