

1 Elizabeth J. Cabraser (State Bar No. 083151)  
Barry R. Himmelstein (State Bar No. 157736)  
2 Michael W. Sobol (State Bar No. 194857)  
Eric B. Fastiff (State Bar No. 182260)  
3 Allison S. Elgart (State Bar No. 241901)  
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN & BERNSTEIN, LLP  
4 275 Battery Street, 30th Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111-3339  
5 Telephone: (415) 956-1000  
Facsimile: (415) 956-1008

6 Interim Class Counsel for MCI Class  
7  
8 [Additional Counsel Appear On Signature Page]

9  
10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
12 (San Francisco Division)

13 IN RE NATIONAL SECURITY  
14 AGENCY TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
15 RECORDS LITIGATION

MDL Docket No. 06-1791 (VRW)

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER MODIFYING BRIEFING  
SCHEDULE AND HEARING DATE FOR  
VERIZON MOTIONS TO DISMISS**

16 THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:

Courtroom: 6, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Judge: Hon. Vaughn R. Walker

17 All Class Actions Against the MCI and  
Verizon Defendants; *Bready, et al. v.*  
18 *Verizon Maryland* (No. 06-06313);  
*Chulsky, et al. v. Cellco Partnership dba*  
19 *Verizon Wireless* (No. 06-06570); and  
*Riordan, et al. v. Verizon Communications*  
20 (No. 06-3574)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**STIPULATION**

WHEREAS, by order dated March 22, 2007, the Court granted the Government's opposed motion to extend and set a briefing and hearing schedule on dispositive motions in cases asserting claims against the Verizon defendants. (Dkt. No. 217);

WHEREAS, on April 20, 2007, the United States filed an unclassified memorandum in support of its motion to dismiss or for summary judgment on state secrets grounds (Dkt. Nos. 253-257);

WHEREAS, on April 30, 2007, the Verizon defendants filed a motion to dismiss the Master Consolidated Complaint (Dkt. No. 125); a motion to dismiss the *Chulsky, Riordan,* and *Bready* complaints (Dkt. No. 270-271); and a motion to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction (Dkt. No. 268-269);

WHEREAS, under the schedule set by the Court in its March 22, 2007 Order, Plaintiffs have until May 24, 2007, to file their oppositions to the foregoing motions;

WHEREAS, Plaintiffs desire additional time to respond to the foregoing motions, which would require an adjustment of the June 21, 2007 hearing date;

WHEREAS the Government and Verizon Defendants previously sought to work cooperatively on a schedule in the Verizon cases and are willing to consent to this requested extension;

WHEREAS, the parties are advised that the Court is not available to hear the foregoing motions at a later date until August 9, 2007, and is not available to hear the foregoing motions on August 23, 2007; and

WHEREAS, counsel for the Verizon defendants and the United States have scheduling conflicts that preclude resetting the hearings for August 9, 2007 or the week of 13-17, 2007;

**IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, SUBJECT TO APPROVAL OF THE COURT, THAT:**

(1) Plaintiffs shall have until June 22, 2007 to file their oppositions to the foregoing motions;

1 (2) The Verizon defendants and the United States shall have until August 3,  
2 2007 to file their reply briefs in support of the foregoing motions;

3 (3) The foregoing motions will be heard on August 30, 2007;

4 (4) The United States' administrative motion for leave to file a 58-page  
5 redacted opening brief in support of its motion to dismiss (Dkt. No. 258) is granted, *nunc pro*  
6 *tunc*;

7 (5) The MCI and Verizon class plaintiffs shall have a total of 108 pages for  
8 their oppositions to the United States' motion to dismiss and the Verizon defendants' motion to  
9 dismiss the Master Consolidated Complaint;

10 (6) The Verizon defendants shall have 40 pages for their reply in support of  
11 their motion to dismiss the Master Consolidated Complaint;

12 (7) The page limit for the United States' reply in support of its motion to  
13 dismiss will be addressed by separate stipulation or, if necessary, motion; and

14 (8) The length of other briefs shall remain as governed by applicable rules.

15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: May 22, 2007

Respectfully submitted,

By:  \s\ Barry R. Himmelstein  
Barry R. Himmelstein  
LIEFF, CABRASER, HEIMANN &  
BERNSTEIN, LLP  
Interim Class Counsel for MCI Class

By:  \s\ Jodi W. Flowers  
Jodi W. Flowers  
MOTLEY RICE, LLC  
Interim Class Counsel for Verizon Class

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

By:  \s\ Ann Brick  
Ann Brick  
AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION  
FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN  
CALIFORNIA  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Riordan, et al. v. Verizon Communications, Inc.*

By:  \s\ John A. Rogovin  
John A. Rogovin  
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE  
AND DORR LLP  
Attorneys for Verizon Defendants

By:  \s\ Anthony J. Coppolino  
Anthony J. Coppolino  
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE  
Attorneys for the United States of America

By:  \s\ Joshua G. Whitaker  
Joshua G. Whitaker  
GRIFFIN WHITAKER LLP  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Bready, et al. v. Verizon Maryland, Inc.*

By:  \s\ David H. Sternlieb  
David H. Sternlieb  
SHAPIRO & STERNLIEB, LLC  
Attorneys for Plaintiffs in *Chulsky, et al. v. Cellco Partnership dba Verizon Wireless*

Pursuant to General Order 45, Part X-B, the filer attests that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Jodi W. Flowers, Ann Brick, John A. Rogovin, Anthony J. Coppolino, Joshua G. Whitaker, and David H. Sternlieb.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

~~PROPOSED ORDER~~

Pursuant to the foregoing stipulation (1) – (8), IT IS SO ORDERED. The motions that are the subject of the stipulation will be heard on August 30, 2007 at 2:00 p.m.

Dated: ~~May 11, 2007~~  
August 9, 2007

Hon. Vaughn R. Walker  
United States District Chief Judge

