EXHIBIT C

FIRST SET OF REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION CASE NO. 09-CV-0168

Case3:09-cv-00168-JSW Document116-3 Filed02/14/11 Page2 of 10

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 8

Plaintiff objects to this Request for Admission as vague and ambiguous. Subject to this objection, Plaintiff answers as follows: To the extent that this Request for Admission asks about written communications received by the UC Berkeley Police Department not authored by Plaintiff, Plaintiff cannot truthfully admit or deny this request for admission because Plaintiff does not have sufficient information or knowledge to do so. To the extent that this Request for Admission asks about written communications received by the UC Berkeley Police Department authored or co-authored by Plaintiff, Plaintiff answers as follows: denied. The first written correspondence from Plaintiffs' current counsel to the UC Berkeley Police Department in which the UC Berkeley Police Department was informed of the fact that Long Haul published Slingshot was sent on October 22, 2008.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9

Admit that the first written correspondence to the UC Berkeley Police Department informing them of the fact that EBPS provided information to the public was sent on or after October 27, 2008.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 9

Plaintiff objects to this Request for Admission as vague and ambiguous. Subject to this objection, Plaintiff answers as follows: To the extent that this Request for Admission asks about written communications received by the UC Berkeley Police Department not authored by Plaintiff, Plaintiff cannot truthfully admit or deny this Request for Admission because Plaintiff does not have sufficient information or knowledge to do so. To the extent that this Request for Admission asks about written communications received by the UC Berkeley Police Department authored or co-authored by Plaintiff, Plaintiff answers as follows: admitted.

REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10

Admit that on September 18, 2008, Defendant Kasiske had a conversation with Seth Chazin wherein Defendant Kasiske stated that he would be able to release some of the property

1	seized during the August 28, 2008 search.			
2	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 10			
3				
4	Admitted.			
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11			
6	Admit that on September 19, 2008, Detective Reich released property numbered E35-1, E			
7	35-2, E 35-3, E35-4, E35-5, E35-11, E35-12, and E35-13 to Chloe Watlington.			
8	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 11			
9				
10 11	Admitted.			
12	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12			
13	Admit that on September 19, 2008, Chloe Watlington signed both pages of the property			
14	receipt, which were produced in this litigation and BATES numbered UC000297-000298.			
15	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 12			
16	Admitted.			
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13			
18	REQUEST TOR ADMISSION 140. IS			
19	Admit that on September 23, 2008, Defendant Kasiske had a conversation with Seth			
20	Chazin wherein Defendant Kasiske stated that he would be able to release additional property			
21	seized during the August 28, 2008 search.			
22	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 13			
23	Admitted.			
24	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 14			
25				
26	Admit that on September 30, 2008, Kasiske released property numbered E35-6, E 35-7, E			
27	355-8, E35-9, E35-10, E35-14, E35-15, E35-16, E 35-17, and E35-18 to Christopher Henning.			
28				
	6			

Case3:09-cv-00168-JSW Document116-3 Filed02/14/11 Page4 of 10

1 up by the Bay Area Animal Rights Network. 2 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 21** 3 4 Plaintiff cannot truthfully admit or deny this Request for Admission because Plaintiff 5 does not have sufficient information or knowledge to do so. Plaintiff admits that an email 6 message apparently announcing the event appears to have been sent through the Bay Area 7 Animal Rights Network listserv. 8 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22** 9 Admit that the organization "Stop UCB Vivisection" was dedicated to stopping the 10 practice of vivisection and animal experimentation at UC Berkeley. 11 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 22 12 13 Plaintiff cannot truthfully admit or deny this request for admission because Plaintiff does 14 not have sufficient information or knowledge to do so. Plaintiff admits that the organization 15 stated that this was its goal. 16 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23** 17 Admit that Long Haul provided Stop Cal Vivisection with a grant in 2008. 18 RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 23 19 20 Admitted. 21 **REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24** 22 Admit that Long Haul gave Stop Cal Vivisection at least \$50 in 2008. 23 **RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 24** 24 25

Plaintiff objects to this Request for Admission as vague and ambiguous. Subject to this objection, Plaintiff answers as follows: admitted that Long Haul gave Stop Cal Vivisection exactly \$50 in 2008.

28

26

27

1	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25			
2	Admit that Peter Young spoke at Long Haul in January 2008.			
3	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 25			
4 5	Admitted.			
6	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26			
7				
8	Admit that Peter Young gave a presentation at Long Haul in January of 2008.			
9	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 26			
10	Admitted.			
11	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27			
12				
13	Admit that Peter Young is an animal activist.			
14	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27			
15	Plaintiff objects to this request on the ground that it is ambiguous as to the term "animal			
16	activist." Plaintiff admits that Peter Young has indicated that he opposes fur farms.			
17	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28			
18				
19	Admit that there was a showing of "Behind the Mask" at Long Haul in January of 2008.			
20	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 28			
21	Admitted.			
22	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29			
23	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 27			
24	Admit that on January 27, 2008 Detective Zuniga observed an individual enter the Long			
25	Haul premises after demonstrating at home of UC Berkeley personnel.			
26	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 29			
27				
28	Plaintiff cannot truthfully admit or deny this request for admission because Plaintiff doe			
	10 PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT USA'S			

1	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 36					
2						
3	Plaintiff cannot truthfully admit or deny this request for admission because Plaintiff does					
4	not have sufficient information or knowledge to do so.					
5	REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37					
6	Admit that the Silicon Valley Regional Computer Forensics Laboratory has not					
7	performed any search on any computers seized from the East Bay Prisoner Support Office during					
8	the search.					
9	RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR ADMISSION NO. 37					
10						
11	Plaintiff cannot truthfully admit or deny this request for admission because Plaintiff does					
12	not have sufficient information or knowledge to do so.					
13						
14	DATED: October 12, 2010					
15	Vall /					
16	ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION MATTHEW ZIMMERMAN					
17	454 Shotwell Street San Francisco, CA 94110					
18	Telephone: (415) 436-9333 x127 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993					
19	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS					
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26 27						
28						
ں۔	13					
1						

Case3:09-cv-00168-JSW Document116-3 Filed02/14/11 Page7 of 10

Case3:09-cv-00168-JSW Document116-3 Filed02/14/11 Page8 of 10 1 Jennifer Stisa Granick (State Bar No. 168423) Matt Zimmerman (State Bar No. 212423) Marcia Hofmann (State Bar No. 250087) 2 ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 454 Shotwell Street 3 San Francisco, California 94110 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 4 (415) 436-9993 Facsimile: 5 Email: jennifer@eff.org mattz@eff.org 6 Michael T. Risher (State Bar No. 191627) 7 AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES FOUNDATION OF NORTHERN CALIFORNIA 8 39 Drumm Street San Francisco, California 94111 9 Telephone: (415) 621-2493 (415) 255-8437 Facsimile: 10 Email: mrisher@aclunc.org 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs LONG HAUL, INC. AND EAST BAY 12 PRISONER SUPPORT 13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 15 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION 16 LONG HAUL, INC. and EAST BAY Case No. 3:09-cv-0168 JSW 17 PRISONER SUPPORT. 18 Plaintiffs, 19 ٧. PROOF OF SERVICE 20 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: MITCHELL CELAYA; KAREN ALBERTS; 21 WILLIAM KASISKE; WADE MACADAM; 22 TIMOTHY J. ZUNIGA; MIKE HART; LISA SHAFFER; AND DOES 1-25, 23 24 Defendants. 25 26 27 28 PROOF OF SERVICE

	1	
1		
2		
3	1	F
4		y
5	l	
6	١	
7		
8		
9	I	
10	I	
11		
12		
13	ı	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19	i	
20		
21	l	
22		
23	Ì	
24		
25		
26		
27		

28

I, Leticia Perez, declare:

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, California by Electronic Frontier Foundation at 454 Shotwell Street, San Francisco, California 94110. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within cause.

On October 15, 2010, at the above-referenced address, I served the attached

- PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SECOND SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORT'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO SECOND SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORT'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION BY DEFENDANT UNITED STATES
- PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION BY DEFENDANT UNITED STATES
- PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES BY DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORT'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES BY DEFENDANT UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
- PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY DEFENDANT MIKE HART
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORT'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY DEFENDANT MIKE HART
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORT'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY DEFENDANT MIKE HART
- PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION BY DEFENDANT MIKE HART
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORT'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR ADMISSION BY DEFENDANT MIKE HART
- PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES BY DEFENDANT MIKE HART
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORTS RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES BY DEFENDANT MIKE HART
- PLAINTIFF LONG HAUL'S RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS TO FIRST SET OF REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS BY DEFENDANT LISA SHAFFER
- PLAINTIFF EAST BAY PRISONER SUPPORT'S RESPONSES AND

27

28