EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION,	
Plaintiff,)
v.) Civ. A. No. 07-CV-00656 (JDB)
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE,)
Defendant.)))

FOURTH DECLARATION OF DAVID M. HARDY

- I, David M. Hardy, declare as follows:
- ("RIDS"), Records Management Division ("RMD"), at Federal Bureau of Investigation

 Headquarters ("FBIHQ") in Washington, D.C. I have held this position since August 1, 2002.

 Prior to joining the FBI, from May 1, 2001 to July 31, 2002, I was the Assistant Judge Advocate

 General of the Navy for Civil Law. In that capacity, I had direct oversight of Freedom of

 Information Act ("FOIA") policy, procedures, appeals, and litigation for the Navy. From

 October 1, 1980 to April 30, 2001, I served as a Navy Judge Advocate at various commands and
 routinely worked with FOIA matters. I am also an attorney who has been licensed to practice law
 in the state of Texas since 1980.
- (2) In my official capacity as Section Chief of RIDS, I supervise approximately 208 employees who staff a total of ten (10) FBIHQ units and a field operational service center unit whose collective mission is to effectively plan, develop, direct and manage responses to requests

for access to FBI records and information pursuant to the FOIA; Privacy Act; Executive Order 12958, as amended; Presidential, Attorney General and FBI policies and procedures; judicial decisions and other Presidential and Congressional directives. The statements contained in this declaration are based upon my personal knowledge, upon information provided to me in my official capacity, and upon conclusions and determinations reached and made in accordance therewith.

- by the FBI in responding to requests for information from its files pursuant to the provisions of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552, and the Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a. Specifically, I am aware of the treatment which has been afforded the March 12, 2007, FOIA request of plaintiff Electronic Frontier Foundation ("EFF") seeking access to records concerning the FBI's use of National Security Letters ("NSLs") in connection with the March 2007 report of the Office of the Inspector General, United States Department of Justice, entitled "A Review of the Federal Bureau of Investigation's Use of National Security Letters" ("OIG report"); and, more generally, internal FBI policies governing the use of NSLs. See

 http://www.usdoj/gov/oig/reports/FBI/index.htm.
- (4) This declaration is submitted in compliance with the Court's June 15, 2007 Order, which set a schedule for processing plaintiff's FOIA request, and required defendant to "file a report regarding the completion of the search for responsive records and the status of the ongoing processing of records by not later then August 14, 2007."

Search for Responsive Records

(5) The search for responsive documents was completed by August 10, 2007. RIDS contacted those offices or divisions at FBIHQ deemed most likely to have responsive documents. The following offices or divisions identified and provided potentially responsive documents: Director's Office, Inspection Division, Counterterrorism Division, Counterintelligence Division, and Office of the General Counsel.

Status of the Processing of Records

- (6) I have previously described the steps involved in processing the records responsive to plaintiff's FOIA request. See Second Hardy Declaration, ¶ 5.
- (7) The FBI is processing and releasing documents on a rolling basis at a rate of approximately 2,500 pages per month as required by the Court's June 15, 2007 Order, and as amended by the Court's Minute Order of June 28, 2007.² The following presents a snapshot view of the status of the FBI's processing as of today: Approximately 69,000 pages remain to be reviewed for potential responsiveness. Of the pages that have already been deemed to be responsive, approximately 20,000 pages are ready to be scanned into the Freedom of

The processing of the records responsive to plaintiff's FOIA request involves the following seven steps: (a) Gather records from the Offices and Divisions most likely to have responsive records; (b) Review the retrieved records for responsiveness; (c) Scan the records into the Freedom of Information/Privacy Act ("FOIPA") Document Processing System; (d) Process records through the Optical Character Recognition ("OCR") system to eliminate duplicates; (e) Review by the Classification Unit; (f) Review of the records for FOIA exemptions by the FOIPA Disclosure Unit; and (g) Pre-release review of the records by the originating Office or Division. See generally Second Hardy Declaration, ¶ 5.

As of this date, the FBI has made two releases: July 5, 2007 (1,502 pages processed and 1,138 pages released in part); and August 6, 2007 (2,502 pages processed and 2,434 pages released in part).

Information/Privacy Act ("FOIPA") Document Processing System ("FDPS") for processing and disclosure of non-exempt pages or portions thereof. The Classification Unit currently has 12,625 pages to review. The FOIPA Disclosure Unit currently has 8,901 pages to review. Finally, the originating offices and divisions that provided potentially responsive records are currently reviewing a total of 1,685 pages as part of the pre-release review – which is the final step in the processing of responsive records.

- Thus, the FBI could process a total of approximately 112,000 more pages in this case, which would take up to 45 months to complete. I hope, however, to significantly lower this estimate after the initial review for potential responsiveness of the approximately 69,000 pages described in paragraph 7, above. The FBI expects to finish that review in approximately ten (10) months, or on or before June 16, 2008, and will inform the Court and plaintiff on or before that date as to its completion status. In the event that the FBI is able to complete this initial review of the approximately 69,000 pages substantially before June 16, 2008, it will also inform the Court and plaintiff promptly.
- (9) As the processing of responsive documents continues, the estimates contained in this declaration may be subject to revision. The FBI will inform the Court and the plaintiff if the

foregoing estimates are substantially modified.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

day of August, 2007

Executed this

DAVID M. HARDY

Section Chief

Record/Information Dissemination Section

Records Management Division Federal Bureau of Investigation Washington, D.C.