

b6
b7C

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 9:57 AM
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)

Importance: High
SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Pat, re your comments below, is there any background materials or insights that you have from the data retention group that might be useful background? (See my e-mail back to [redacted] below)?

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 5:38 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Actually, the data retention working group is working on that issue/policy so [redacted] articulation of the reasons will help me at the working group.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 9:25 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] just want to make sure I have any pertinent material that may provide helpful context for preparing responses to #9. I've got VC's prepared testimony from FBI intranet. But is there anything more that would also be relevant?

1. Like transcript of the extemporaneous parts of the hearing?
2. Like prior FBI congressional responses or other articulated positions re data retention?
3. Like draft of the portion of #9 that you're evidently working on (I'm not sure how well it will work to provide PCLU inputs independent of what NSLB may be saying.)
4. Other?

P.S. What is time frame?

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 4:51 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] want to take a crack at #9 in view of my departure--don't know the time frame but you can ask [redacted]. This may be an opportunity to take on a project we have discussed--if we have to retain names we collect per policy, explore ways to archive and restrict access

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 10:09 AM
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Sincere thanks to all. Looking forward to your replies.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 8:27 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] please take #3--provide input to [redacted] and copy me.

[redacted] please take #9. Ditto. Thanks. (The answer to this will assist me in the Data Retention Working Group.)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 3:07 PM
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: THOMAS, JULIE F. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)

b6
b7C

Importance: High

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Pat --

Sir, as you probably know, OGC has already received some QFRs from GC Caproni's 20 March testimony before the House Judiciary Committee.

Julie asked me to contact you regarding two of those QFRs:

3. [Please provide] copies of all documents, including internal memoranda, pertaining to the FBI's Communications Analysis Unit's contracts with the three telephone companies identified in the Inspector General's Report, and identify all FBI attorneys who participated in the review and approval of those contracts.

9. [P]lease detail the FBI's reasons for the retention of data pertaining to individuals who the FBI has concluded are irrelevant to terrorism investigations.

Re No. 3: OCA will contact Finance Division regarding the actual contracts. However, based on what we suspect would've been the Procurement Law Unit's involvement, Julie asked me to contact you regarding the referenced attorney participation.

Re No. 9: Our current vision of this response is to explain why we retain info regarding a wide variety of matters, folding into that response several PCLU-related points about protecting privacy and civil liberties. In that regard, comments from you and [redacted] would be most helpful.

As always, sincere thanks for your help.

[redacted]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

b6
b7c

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

b6
b7C

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 9:57 AM
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)

Importance: High
SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Pat, re your comments below, is there any background materials or insights that you have from the data retention group that might be useful background? (See my e-mail back to [redacted] below)?

-----Original Message-----

From: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 5:38 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Actually, the data retention working group is working on that issue/policy so [redacted] articulation of the reasons will help me at the working group.

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 9:25 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] just want to make sure I have any pertinent material that may provide helpful context for preparing responses to #9. I've got VC's prepared testimony from FBI intranet. But is there anything more that would also be relevant?

1. Like transcript of the extemporaneous parts of the hearing?
2. Like prior FBI congressional responses or other articulated positions re data retention?
3. Like draft of the portion of #9 that you're evidently working on (I'm not sure how well it will work to provide PCLU inputs independent of what NSLB may be saying.)
4. Other?

P.S. What is time frame?

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 4:51 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] want to take a crack at #9 in view of my departure--don't know the time frame but you can ask [redacted]. This may be an opportunity to take on a project we have discussed--if we have to retain names we collect per policy, explore ways to archive and restrict access

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 10:09 AM
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6
b7C

Sincere thanks to all. Looking forward to your replies.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 8:27 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] please take #3--provide input to [redacted] and copy me.

[redacted]: please take #9. Ditto. Thanks. (The answer to this will assist me in the Data Retention Working Group.)

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 3:07 PM
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: THOMAS, JULIE F. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)

Importance: High

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Pat --

Sir, as you probably know, OGC has already received some QFRs from GC Caproni's 20 March testimony before the House Judiciary Committee.

Julie asked me to contact you regarding two of those QFRs:

3. [Please provide] copies of all documents, including internal memoranda, pertaining to the FBI's Communications Analysis Unit's contracts with the three telephone companies identified in the Inspector General's Report, and identify all FBI attorneys who participated in the review and approval of those contracts.

9. [P]lease detail the FBI's reasons for the retention of data pertaining to individuals who the FBI has concluded are irrelevant to terrorism investigations.

Re No. 3: OCA will contact Finance Division regarding the actual contracts. However, based on what we suspect would've been the Procurement Law Unit's involvement, Julie asked me to contact you regarding the referenced attorney participation.

b6
b7C

Re No. 9: Our current vision of this response is to explain why we retain info regarding a wide variety of matters, folding into that response several PCLU-related points about protecting privacy and civil liberties. In that regard, comments from you and would be most helpful.

As always, sincere thanks for your help.

b6
b7C



SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

b6
b7C

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 11:52 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Follow-up Re QFR No. 9 - GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Tks.

-----Original Message-----

b6
b7C

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 10:11 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)
Cc: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)
Subject: Follow-up Re QFR No. 9 - GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6
b7C

[redacted]

Thanks for your efforts on No. 9. Here's the best I can offer regarding your questions:

1. The transcript.

<< File: TRANSCRIPT - Caproni (HJC, 20 Mar 07).doc >>

2. I do my best to keep a file of everything Congressional on which NSLB has worked since I've been in this job. But, I don't currently recall anything related to data retention *per se*. If it becomes necessary to find any such responses, OCA (in particular, Beth Beers) may possibly be able to help.

3. I've not yet drafted NSLB's portion of the No. 9 response. But, when I do,

[redacted]

b5

That'll be the thrust of it. But, don't let that stop you from drafting your portion. Draft it as if it were to stand alone, and I'll then ensure my portion conforms to yours.

4. I can't think of any "other" at the moment.

As for the time frame, would it be possible to get your input NLT, Thursday, 29 March? If so, that would be a huge help to me as I attempt to consolidate all the various comments.

b6
b7C

Again, thanks!

[REDACTED]

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2007 9:25 AM
To: DILLINGHAM, WAYNE E (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI); KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b6
b7C

[REDACTED] just want to make sure I have any pertinent material that may provide helpful context for preparing responses to #9. I've got VC's prepared testimony from FBI intranet. But is there anything more that would also be relevant?

1. Like transcript of the extemporaneous parts of the hearing?
2. Like prior FBI congressional responses or other articulated positions re data retention?
3. Like draft of the portion of #9 that you're evidently working on (I'm not sure how well it will work to provide PCLU inputs independent of what NSLB may be saying.)
4. Other?

P.S. What is time frame?

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 4:51 PM
To: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[REDACTED] want to take a crack at #9 in view of my departure--don't know the time frame but you can ask [REDACTED]. This may be an opportunity to take on a project we have discussed--if we have to retain names we collect per policy, explore ways to archive and restrict access.

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 10:09 AM [redacted]
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7c

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Sincere thanks to all. Looking forward to your replies.

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2007 8:27 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7c

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] please take #3--provide input to [redacted] and copy me.

[redacted] please take #9. Ditto. Thanks. (The answer to this will assist me in the Data Retention Working Group.)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, March 22, 2007 3:07 PM
To: KELLEY, PATRICK W. (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: THOMAS, JULIE F. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: QFRs fm GC Caproni's HJC Hrg (20 Mar 07)
Importance: High

b6
b7c

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Pat --

Sir, as you probably know, OGC has already received some QFRs from GC Caproni's 20 March testimony before the House Judiciary Committee.

Julie asked me to contact you regarding two of those QFRs:

3. [Please provide] copies of all documents, including internal memoranda,

pertaining to the FBI's Communications Analysis Unit's contracts with the three telephone companies identified in the Inspector General's Report, and identify all FBI attorneys who participated in the review and approval of those contracts.

9. [P]lease detail the FBI's reasons for the retention of data pertaining to individuals who the FBI has concluded are irrelevant to terrorism investigations.

Re No. 3: OCA will contact Finance Division regarding the actual contracts. However, based on what we suspect would've been the Procurement Law Unit's involvement, Julie asked me to contact you regarding the referenced attorney participation.

Re No. 9: Our current vision of this response is to explain why we retain info regarding a wide variety of matters, folding into that response several PCLU-related points about protecting privacy and civil liberties. In that regard, comments from you and [REDACTED] would be most helpful.

As always, sincere thanks for your help.

[REDACTED]

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

b6
b7c