

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED
HEREIN IS UNCLASSIFIED
DATE 07-17-2007 BY 65179 DMH/KSR/cb

[redacted] (OGC) (INT)

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 14, 2005 7:26 AM
To: [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: NSLs

b6
b7C
b2
b7E

**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD**

thanks for the info. [redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2005 3:36 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: RE: NSLs

b6
b7C
b2
b7E

**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD**

[redacted]
[redacted]
[redacted]

b6
b7C
b5

CDC [redacted]
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 10, 2005 12:22 PM
To: FBI ALL CDCs
Cc: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI); THOMAS, JULIE F. (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: NSLs

b6
b7C

**SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD**


Please help me again. We are getting pummeled with questions here in D.C., in light of a newspaper article, as to the scope of NSLs, and people are bandying about this idea that we get the records of people who were in touch with people who were in touch with people. . . [redacted]

[redacted]

[redacted]
[redacted] The advice I generally give, and am wondering if it applies to you as well [redacted]

[redacted]

b5



b5
b6
b7C
b2
b7E

thanks

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

