From: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 1:21 PM
To: [redacted] (DO) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]
SECRET//NOFORN
RECORD 66f

b2
b4
b7D

Just so you know,

From looking down the chain, we are about to have it out with CTD on mission creep [redacted] is on target and very polite regarding this, but [redacted] doesn't want to play our ballgame.

b6
b7C

Marcus

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 9:37 AM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI); DICLEMENTE, ANTHONY P. (OTD) (FBI); THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

SECRET//NOFORN
RECORD 66f

[redacted]

We need to talk.

b6
b7C

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 20, 2006 9:36 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI); DICLEMENTE, ANTHONY P. (OTD) (FBI); THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

SECRET//NOFORN
RECORD 66f

b6
b7C

[redacted]

If you have issues with ongoing FISA's call the DITU Unit Chief; OTD/DITU and TLO will worry about collection issues since we do the collections.

We do not get our information re new products and services from company websites. If we waited until companies announced new products and services we would never be able to keep up with collections. We talk with companies months, if not years, prior to their roll out of

new services so they can take our needs into account as the product or service is being designed - it is often technically impossible or prohibitively expensive to ask for such changes after a product is fully developed.

I am certain [redacted] meant no harm, [redacted] does a great job with their part of this process, which is primarily to serve/track the orders. [redacted] contacts are the primary poc's for SERVICE of the orders. OTD/DITU's contacts are the engineers and senior officials within the companies who actually make the collections happen - the people who run the networks and design the products and sign off when we ask the company to spend time and effort on our behalf. b2 b6 b7C b7E

FYI, technically speaking "subaccounts" do not exist at [redacted] we can explain the alias issue tomorrow (it differs by company like [redacted] as we've been dealing with this for years. b4 b7D

My problem is not with [redacted] it's with you (EOPS) wanting to get into the liaison business. **The number one complaint constantly reiterated by ISPs** is that they are continually bombarded by multiple contacts from within the Bureau. b2 b7E

[redacted] this is not personal, I'm damn glad EOPS exists, but please just let OTD worry about the collections, you guys get the orders and we'll make sure we can get the data from the companies. b6 b7C

See you tomorrow.

Regards,

[redacted] b6 b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2006 3:58 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI) [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted] b2 b4 b6 b7C b7D b7E

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

[redacted] b6 b7C

I appreciate your concerns; however, I do need to clarify a couple of points. We are trying to timely address several current and anticipated issues pertinent to ongoing EOPS FISA's. We have an immediate tactical collection issue re [redacted] and [redacted] that could impact on under collection or over collection issues. b2 b4 b7D b7E

I agree that a need exist to clarify what each office does; however, our intent is not to have a strategic meeting next week. We have several specific issues that we are trying to resolve. EOPS is the Declarant on these FISA's and our role is to ensure that no IOB violation has or will occur on some pending FISA's. So, with all due respect we are trying to meet next week with the operational and technical personnel noted in my e-mail to address our immediate issue.

I am sure that [redacted] meant no harm in offering to check with the [redacted] providers re b2 b6 b7C b7E

obtaining the name of the various consumer services being offered by the ISP's in her territory. Her contacting an ISP would not involve any providers being on the telephone next week during our meeting. In fact, some of this public marketing information might be on the ISP webpage. The [redacted] staff handle all of the FISA Orders, Patriot Act Letters and NSL's for EOPS involving the [redacted] ISP's. They also deconflict day to day issues to ensure collection. [redacted] In our situation [redacted] personnel represent the main operational Bureau ISP points of contact. For that reason, it is not inappropriate for EOPS to contact our ISP liaison for this type of information or for [redacted] to volunteer to obtain this information. If it is more appropriate and comprehensive to go via your office, that is fine.

b2
b7E

The idea was to invite OTD/DITU and NSLB to this meeting so that we would get a handle on the names of product services each ISP will offer and to ascertain if that service could be [redacted] elgible for collection. We would then have a standard foundation to address our [redacted] question. The second aspect of the meeting involved your office and OTD taking a timely look at the anticipated products that the ISP's are advertising, from the technical prospective, and see what collection issues/problems would be presented. NSLB would then be in a position to contact OIPR to coordinate in changes/modifications to the EOPS FISA Order if required.

b2
b7E

I hope this helps to clarify that we only need the operational/tech personnel to attend the meeting next week. I have no problem in participating in a subsequent meeting in which various roles are clarified and/or explained.

[redacted]

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2006 1:46 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

Yes, actually it does. It is not appropriate for a division to be reaching out to the ISP's in this way, these are issues pertinent to the entire Bureau, not a single division - including many overseas issues with our IC partners. OTD already has these discussions both formally, at least twice a year, and almost daily informally.

Sorry [redacted] I mean no offense, I know you and your contacts are worth their weight in gold - I hear nothing but great things - but you/they are not cognizant of the broader corporate(for both the Bu and ISPs) issues. We're striking deals back here daily that you'll never see or hear about, just to keep as many collections floating as possible for as long as possible. Many of these deals have immediate a profound political ramifications for the Bureau, especially in light of our efforts re the National Electronic Surveillance Strategy.

b2
b7E

[redacted] when you need this type of info you should be asking the Bureau's Technical Liaison Office on behalf of the entire Bureau, not a field office.

b6
b7C

We're not looking for marketing information here, we're more concerned about engineering changes that they're contemplating in the next 30, 60 90 days that may cause our collections to go down.

Toward this end, I've asked the DES Section Chief and OTD a/AD to sit in on the meeting, we need to clarify our roles. I'm becoming increasingly concerned that we are not coordinating our contact with our major partners. Suggest you invite you SC and/or DAD. If it's easier for you on your end I'll send out a meeting request from our a/AD.

Regards,

b6
b7C

[redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2006 11:17 AM
To: [redacted] OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] CTD) (FBI); [redacted] OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

[redacted]

Unless your office already has this product/marketing information, I would like [redacted] to reach out to the providers for a list of their anticipated product lines as part of her liaison duties. Nothing else would be discussed.

b6
b7C

Your expertise would be appreciated in helping us identify the technical differences in these services/product lines that the ISP's will be offering to facilitate any required collection or search efforts. We need to have this information pursuant to discussing any anticipated collection problems that DITU might have and to ascertain if EOPS needs to modify the vetting process so we identify all inter-related accounts. Plus, it might be determined that language in the FISA Order needs to be modified for each ISP after reviewing the expected product lines/services.

We can also discuss during our meeting any current overcollection/undercollection issues relative to [redacted] accounts that the various ISP's have set-up i.e. the [redacted] matter. With [redacted] both OIPR, NSLB and [redacted] concur that the current language in the FISA Order is sufficient to allow capture of the [redacted] The other ISP's might not [redacted] would have to be identified in the FISA Order. We need to clarify what DITU needs as a comfort level in situations like this. Perhaps a footnote or brief to the FISA Court re this matter might be an option.

b2
b4
b7D
b7E

If this conflicts with what you have in mind, please advise.

Thanks,

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, November 17, 2006 10:20 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

I thought this was internal, are we thinking of having providers present or on the phone? [redacted] let's talk prior to your reaching out to anyone

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2006 5:28 PM
To: [redacted] (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

[redacted]

Thanks for the quick response. Look forward to your e-mail.

b6
b7C

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2006 5:17 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI); [redacted] OGC)(FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

~~SECRET//NOFORN~~
~~RECORD 66f~~

I will check with the providers and provide you with a response before Tuesday.

[redacted]
Supervisor, ISS Unit

[redacted]office)
[redacted]office)
[redacted]cell)

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted]CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, November 16, 2006 2:09 PM
To: [redacted](OTD) (FBI); [redacted]OTD) (FBI); [redacted](CTD) (FBI); [redacted](OGC) (FBI); [redacted](OGC)(FBI)
Cc: [redacted](OTD) (FBI); [redacted](FBI)
Subject: FW: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts fo[redacted]
Importance: High

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7D
b7E

SECRET//NOFORN
RECORD 66f

To All,

EOPS would like to have a meeting early Tuesday afternoon (11/20) if possible to discuss the following current and emerging technology issues that could have an immediate impact on our collection and search protocol relative to EOPS FISA's and interaction with ISP's:

1. Identify, from an internal operation prospective, how each of the ISP's handles [redacted]

[redacted]

b2
b4
b7D
b7E
b5

2. Ascertain if [redacted] and ISP's that follow the same compliance protocol [redacted]

b2
b4
b7D
b7E
b5

3. Determine what the forecast product lines/services the major ISP's are going to be offering and what collection challenges will they present to the FBI to include: [redacted]

[redacted]

b2
b7E
b5

4. Determine if the ISP will have a way [redacted]

b2
b7E
b5

[redacted] b2 b7E b5

5. It appears that the anticipated free and "for a fee" products/services that the ISP's intend to offer will allow much more freedom to the consumer to drop and add services.

[redacted] b2 b7E b5

[redacted] and I could travel to Quantico for a early Tuesday afternoon meeting if that will help scheduling.

[redacted]

Please note the above issues. If you have any information or thoughts on anticipated product lines pertinent to this e-mail, please advise via e-mail. Thanks.

All "To" recipients,

Please advise via e-mail if the timing and location for the meeting is good for you.

Thanks,

[redacted] b6 b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2006 11:39 AM
To: [redacted] CTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts fo[redacted] b4 b6 b7C b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

This is where we are so far.

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, November 15, 2006 10:36 AM
To: [redacted] CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts fo[redacted] b4 b6 b7C b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

OK

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2006 12:33 PM
To: [redacted] CTD) (FBI); [redacted]
[redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts fo [redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

SSA [redacted] s my mouth piece. He may speak in my absence.

-----Original Messa[redacted]
From: [redacted] CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2006 12:26 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted]
[redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Would you guys be available if I schedule a meeting with [redacted] o discuss this.

[redacted]

-----Original Messa[redacted]
From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2006 11:32 AM
To: [redacted] CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

Lets talk this over talk with your people but [redacted]

[redacted]

b4
b5

[redacted]
Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch
Office of General Counsel FBI

[redacted]

Voice
Pager
Secure Fax
Fax

b2
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2006 11:06 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

(S) [redacted] b5 b1

b6 [redacted]
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2006 10:58 AM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4 b6 b7C b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] b5

[redacted]
Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch
Office of General Counsel FBI
[redacted]
Voice
Pager
Secure
Fax
[redacted]
Fax

b2 b6 b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Tuesday, November 14, 2006 7:32 AM
To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b4 b6 b7C b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted] b4 b7D b5

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2006 6:58 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI) [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

When we do the original NSL with [redacted] e-mail which accurately reflects the situation.

b4
b5
b6
b7C
b7D

[redacted]
Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch
Office of General Counsel FBI
[redacted]
Voice
[redacted]
Pager
[redacted]
Secure Fax
[redacted]
Fax

b2
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 13, 2006 4:33 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OGC)(FBI); [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI)
Subject: RE: Questions from

b2
b6
b7C
b7E

DITU - Re Sub-Accounts for [redacted] b4 b7D

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

[redacted] b6 b7C

[redacted] b4 b5 b6 b7C b7D

SSA [redacted]

b5 [redacted]

[redacted] I am forwarding an e-mail from [redacted] from 6/22/2006 about this same matter.

<< Message: FW: [redacted] COLLECTION MATTERS >>

Unless we do a NSL for each [redacted] account before requesting coverage-I don't know how to solve this problem. b4 b7D

SSA [redacted]
CTD-CXS, EOPS
[redacted] desk
cell
pager b2 b6 b7C

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI) b6 b7C
Sent: Tuesday, November 07, 2006 11:27 AM
To: MONDAY,

b6
b7C

[redacted] (CTD) (FBI)

Cc: [redacted] OTD) (FBI); ER_DITU_FISA

Subject: FW: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

HI Don,

[redacted]

b2
b5
b6
b7C

Thanks,
Mia Cravalho
FBI-DITU
703-985-3246 (office)
202-577-6241 (cell)

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

Sent: Monday, November 06, 2006 5:15 PM

To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)

b6
b7C

Subject: FW: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

SSA [redacted]

b6
b7C

Acting Unit Chief
Data Intercept Technology Unit [redacted] b2

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 06, 2006 5:11 PM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: Questions from DITU - Re Sub-Accounts b6 b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

[redacted]

Please see below, but the order will need to be amended to name the primary account.

[redacted]
Assistant General Counsel
Science and Technology Law Unit
Office of the General Counsel
Federal Bureau of Investigation
Ph [redacted] b2 b6 b7C
Cel
Ph (Secure)
Fax

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] OGC)(FBI)
Sent: Monday, November 06, 2006 5:09 PM
To: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI) b6 b7C
Subject: RE: Questions from DITU

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

[redacted] b5

We need to correct the Order and amend it.

[redacted]

Assistant General Counsel
National Security Law Branch
Office of General Counsel FBI
[redacted]
Voice
[redacted]
Pager
[redacted]
Secure Fax
[redacted]
Fax

b2
b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----

From: [redacted] (OGC) (FBI)

Sent: Monday, November 06, 2006 2:26 PM

To: [redacted] (OGC)(FBI)

Subject: Questions from DITU

b6
b7C

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED NON-RECORD

[redacted]

DITU has asked me a question which I need you to advise me on:

[redacted] b5

[redacted]

b4
b5
b7D

[redacted]

Assistant General
Counsel
Science and
Technology Law Unit
Office of the General
Counsel
Federal Bureau of
Investigation
Ph -
Cell [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C

Ph (Secure) -
Fax [redacted] b2

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

SENSITIVE BUT UNCLASSIFIED

From: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Friday, September 09, 2005 2:28 PM
To: Caproni, Valerie E. (OGC) (FBI)
Subject: FW: ref: order

Importance: High

SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN
RECORD OIPR0001

-----Original Message-----
From: THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2005 10:23 AM
To: [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: ref: order
Importance: High

~~**SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN**~~
~~**RECORD OIPR0001**~~
[redacted]

My understanding is that this is an issue with an OGA and by agreement of OIPR/DOJ and CD-6/CD, we have been asked to stand down on using [redacted] for this intercept [redacted] has directed us to [redacted] This is a legal/policy issue and not a technical issue.

Marcus

-----Original Message-----
From [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Sent: Thursday, September 08, 2005 9:12 AM
To [redacted] CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (ITD) (FBI)
Cc [redacted] (CTD) (FBI) [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] OTD) (FBI) [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI) [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (FBI) [redacted] (CD) (FBI); THOMAS, MARCUS C. (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (OTD) (FBI); [redacted] (ITD) (FBI)
Subject: RE: ref: order
Importance: High

~~**SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN**~~
~~**RECORD OIPR0001**~~

All,

Before this becomes a nuclear war let me try to explain where we are and what has been done in this instance. There has beeen outstanding requests from OGAs for close to a year for email accounts [redacted] The actual servers for this domain are housed by a non friendly company in [redacted] called [redacted] The upstream provider for [redacted] was determined to be [redacted] After about six months we finally got an order for [redacted] ERF instituted that collection within 24 hours. Approximately one month after the collection was started the targeted

domain moved their servers to a new set of IP addresses. Those new IP addresses were determined to be "owned" by [redacted] After nearly 6 more months an NSL was finally served on [redacted] who then verified that they in fact "own" those IP addresses. On 8/15 an order was signed for [redacted] to provide assistance to collect this data. At that point ERF attempted to make contact with the company and was efffectively stalled. On 8/24 [redacted] from CD6 sent a message for ERF to stand down and would contact us when it was appropriate to continue our efforts to coordinate an install. On 8/29 we were contacted by a [redacted] engineer from [redacted] who informed us that he was taking over this intercept. That same day we sent two engineers to meet with him. In our discussions with him he said that although [redacted] "owns" these IP addresses they have no readily available access to provide them to us. However he was going to attempt to contact other technical personnel more familiar with that particular situation. He then notified us on 8/31 that it was quite possible that [redacted] who provides space and equipment management to this address space may be more suited to provide assistance. We immediately contact the individual that we previously worked with at [redacted] and confirmed that he could in fact provide this data as soon as he gets the order. ERF then sent a copy of the order to [redacted] Division and requested order service to [redacted] on 9/1. As of 9/6 ERF provided additional contact information to [redacted] As of 9/7 ERF was informed that [redacted] refused the service of the order because they were not specifically named in the order. At this point it is a purely legal exercise to either convince vericenter that they can take this order that we have or we get a new secondary with vericenter specifically listed.

b2
b4
b7D
b7E

As you can see from the description above ERF has not been "sitting" on this. We have in fact provided many resources attempting to coordinate with these companies. The companies have been cooperative however in the midst of vacations and busy schedules has taken some time. Also for information the original equipment ERF had installed at [redacted] is in place we strongly believe that as soon as [redacted] gets suitable legal paperwork will be technically implemented very quickly. Hopefully this helps. I am planning to contact the [redacted] contact today as soon as he has time to get to work to follow up.

b4
b7D

[redacted]

b6
b7C

-----Original Message-----
From [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2005 6:36 PM
To [redacted] (ITD) (FBI) [redacted] (OTD) (FBI)
Cc: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI); [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Subject: FW: ref: order

b6
b7C

~~SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN~~
~~RECORD OIPR0001~~

[redacted]

b4
b6
b7C
b7D

Thank you.
[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (OGC) (OGA)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2005 5:36 PM
To: [redacted] (CTD) (FBI)
Cc [redacted] (OGC) (OGA); [redacted] (OGC) (OGA); [redacted]

b6
b7C

[redacted](OGC) (OGA); [redacted](OGC) (OGA); [redacted]
(FBI)
Subject: RE: ref: order

b6
b7C

~~SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN~~
~~RECORD OIPR0001~~

[redacted]

[redacted]

b4
b5
b6
b7C
b7D

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted](CTD) (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2005 4:02 PM
To [redacted](OGC) (OGA)
Subject: FW: ref: order

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

[redacted]

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7D

This is the point of contact and company name which the order should be directed to. We need to pursue a motion to amend the order which should be directed to [redacted] Let me know what else you need. I am available via beeper at [redacted]

[redacted]

-----Original Message-----
From: [redacted] (FBI)
Sent: Wednesday, September 07, 2005 3:49 PM
To: [redacted](CTD) (FBI)
Cc [redacted](FBI)
Subject: ref: order

UNCLASSIFIED
NON-RECORD

b2
b4
b6
b7C
b7D

Per our telcal:

[redacted]

telephone [redacted]
direct line to [redacted] Information Security Manager/POC for this order [redacted]

Thx [redacted]

b2
b6
b7C

UNCLASSIFIED

UNCLASSIFIED

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN

DERIVED FROM: G-3 FBI Classification Guide G-3, dated 1/97, Foreign CounterIntelligence Investigations
DECLASSIFICATION EXEMPTION 1
SECRET//ORCON,NOFORN