	Case3:12-mc-80237-CRB Docume	ent67 Filed07/08/13 Page1 of 6	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Cindy A. Cohn (SBN 145997) cindy@eff.org Nathan D. Cardozo (SBN 259097) nate@eff.org ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATIO 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993 Marco Simons (SBN 237314) marco@earthrights.org EARTHRIGHTS INTERNATIONAL 1612 K Street NW, Suite 401 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 466-5188 Attorneys for Non-Party Movants	N	
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
13	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	CHEVRON CORP.,) Case No. 5:12-mc-80237 CRB (NC)	
16	Plaintiff		
17	V.) OF OTHER ACTION OR PROCEEDING	
18	STEVEN DONZIGER, et al.) Local Rule 3-13	
19	Defendants.	 Place: Courtroom A, 15th Floor Hon. Nathanael Cousins 	
20			
21			
22			
23		;	
24 25			
25 26			
26 27			
27			
20			
	5:12-mc-80237 CRB (NC)		

Case3:12-mc-80237-CRB Document67 Filed07/08/13 Page2 of 6

Pursuant to Local Rule 3-13, the Non-Party Movants submit this Response to Notice of Pendency of Other Action or Proceeding to give this Court additional information about the Notice filed by Chevron on July 1, 2013 of a recent development in the *Chevron Corp. v. Donziger*, Case No. 1:12-mc-00065 LAK/CFH (N.D.N.Y.) (the "New York Action"). As Chevron noted, in the New York Action, Judge Kaplan, sitting by designation, has denied a non-party motion to quash Chevron Corporation's subpoena to Microsoft, a subpoena parallel to those at issue here, on the mistaken grounds that the non-party movants there were neither citizens nor residents of the United States. We file this Response to make three points.

9 First, as noted above, Judge Kaplan's Opinion was based on an incorrect factual assertion by the Court, acting sua sponte, that the non-party movants in the New York Action were neither 10 citizens nor residents of the United States. Based upon that assertion, the Court then held that the 11 12 movants lacked standing to bring a First Amendment challenge to Chevron's subpoena. Yet the assumption was incorrect and was made without giving movants the opportunity to address the 13 14 issue. The undersigned intends to move for reconsideration in the New York Action in light of this 15 factual error and if necessary, appeal. Additionally, Movants are unaware of any authority allowing a Court to assume a lack of citizenship or residency as part of the analysis of whether a 16 17 subpoena issued in an American court meets the standards of the First Amendment.

Second, while Movants also know of no authority requiring them to demonstrate citizenship
or residency status in order to challenge a subpoena issued by a U.S. court, to avoid any confusion
the undersigned submit that several of the Non-Party Movants before this Court are citizens and
residents of the United States and all have associational ties to this country. *See* Declaration of
Nathan D. Cardozo, attached hereto as Exhibit A.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

Finally, since Chevron has not previously challenged the Non-Party Movants' standing to assert First Amendment rights on the basis of lack of citizenship or residency, that issue has not been addressed by the parties in this action either. Should this Court wish to address the issue of whether the First Amendment applies to subpoen issued under U.S. law and enforced by U.S. courts, even though some of those directly affected by the subpoenas are not citizens or residents,

28

	Case3:12-mc-80237-CF	RB Document67 Filed07/08/13 Page3 of 6
1	Non-Party Movants would be h	happy to submit additional briefing on that issue and request leave to
2	do so.	
3		
4	DATED: July 8, 2013	Respectfully submitted,
5		ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION
6		<u>/s/ Nathan D. Cardozo</u> Nathan D. Cardozo
7		ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109
8 9		Telephone: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993
10		Counsel For Non-Party Movants
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	5:12-mc-80237 CRB (NC)	2 Response to Notice of Pendency of Other Action

Exhibit A

Exhibit A

	Case3:12-mc-80237-CRB Document6	7 Filed07/08/13 Page5 of 6	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Cindy A. Cohn (SBN 145997) cindy@eff.org Nathan D. Cardozo (SBN 259097) nate@eff.org ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9333 Facsimile: (415) 436-9993 Marco Simons (SBN 237314) marco@earthrights.org EARTHRIGHTS INTERNATIONAL 1612 K Street NW, Suite 401 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 466-5188		
11	Attorneys for Non-Party Movants		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
13	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
14	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
15	CHEVRON CORP.,) Case No. 5:12-mc-80237 CRB (NC)	
16	Plaintiff,) DECLARATION OF NATHAN D. CARDOZO IN SUPPORT OF RESPONSE	
17 18	v. STEVEN DONZIGER, <i>et al</i> .	 CARDOZO IN SUPPORT OF RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTION OR PROCEEDING 	
19	Defendants.) Local Rule 3-13	
20	Detendants.	 Place: Courtroom A, 15th Floor Hon. Nathanael Cousins 	
21)	
22)	
23		_)	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	5:12-mc-80237 CRB (NC)		

Case3:12-mc-80237-CRB Document67 Filed07/08/13 Page6 of 6

1	I, Nathan D. Cardozo, of full age, certify, declare and state:			
2	1. I am an attorney at law, duly licensed and admitted to practice in the State of			
3	California. I am a Staff Attorney at the Electronic Frontier Foundation, a non-profit legal services			
4	organization, counsel for the Non-Party Movants. The facts contained in the following affidavit			
5	are known to me of my own personal knowledge and if called upon to testify, I could and would			
6	competently do so.			
7	2. During the course of this litigation, I have personally interacted with a number of			
8	the Non-Party Movants, including in face-to-face meetings in San Francisco. Several of the Non-			
9	Party Movants before this Court are citizens or residents of the United States. All of Non-Party			
10	Movants have associational ties in the United States.			
11				
12	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the			
13	foregoing is true and correct. Executed on July 8, 2013 in San Francisco, California.			
14				
15	/s/ Nathan D. Cardozo			
16	NATHAN D. CARDOZO			
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	1 5:12-mc-80237 CRB (NC) DECLARATION OF NATHAN D. CARDOZO IN SUPPORT OF			
	RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF OTHER ACTION			