THE MAREK LAW FIRM, INC. DAVID MAREK (CA Bar No. 290686) David@marekfirm.com AMI SANGHVI (CA Bar No. 331801) ami@marekfirm.com 3 Superior Court of California County of San Francisco 228 Hamilton Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94301 4 (650) 460-7148 JAN 0.7 2025 5 BERMAN NORTH LLP CLERK OF THE COURT Stacy Y. North (CA Bar No. 219034) 6 stacy@bermannorth.com 2001 Van Ness, Suite 300 7 San Francisco, CA 94109 (650) 463-9158 8 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 **COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO** 11 12 MAURY BLACKMAN, an individual, Case No.: CGC-24-618681 13 [PROPOSED] ORDER DENYING Plaintiff, 14 **DEFENDANT SUBSTACK, INC.'s MOTION** TO SEAL 15 SUBSTACK, INC., a Delaware DATE: January 6, 2025 16 Corporation; AMAZON WEB SERVICES, TIME: 9:30 a.m. **DEPT: 301** INC., a Delaware Corporation; JACK 17 POULSON, an individual; TECH 18 INQUIRY, INC., a Delaware corporation; Judge: Hon. Joseph M. Quinn DOES 1-25, inclusive, Action Filed: October 3, 2024 19 Defendants. 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case No. CGC-24-618681

Froposed Order denying Defendants' Motion to Seal

Having considered the arguments of the parties, the Court finds, as follows:

Defendants Substack, Inc. Amazon Web Services, Inc. and Tech Inquiry, Inc's motions to seal are DENIED. From their reply briefs, the court understands Defendants have abandoned their motions.

The parties are ordered to meet and confer in person or by videoconference before filing any papers in which sealing may be at issue. The parties must make a good faith effort to coordinate and organize their filings and sealing motions sensibly. Four sealing motions at this time is demonstrably not sensible. At least two of these motions could have been avoided by meet and confer. Additionally, the filings would be in better shape if meet and confer had occurred. The parties must file declarations regarding their meet and confer with any subsequent sealing motion.

DATED: Ja

Hon. Joseph M. Quinn JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT