1	Sara B. Brody (SBN 130222)	
2	sbrody@sidley.com Stephen Chang (SBN 312580)	
3	stephen.chang@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
4	555 California Street, Suite 2000 San Francisco, California 94104	
5	Telephone: +1 (415) 772-1200 Facsimile: +1 (415) 772-7400	
6	Angela C. Zambrano ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
7	angela.zambrano@sidley.com Robert S. Velevis ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
8	rvelevis@sidley.com Mehzabin Lora Chowdhury ( <i>Pro Hac Vice</i> )	
9	lchowdhury@sidley.com SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP	
10	2021 McKinney Avenue, Suite 2000 Dallas, TX 75201	
11	Telephone: +1 (214) 981-3300 Facsimile: +1 (214) 981-3400	
12	Attorneys for Defendants AT&T SERVICES, INC. and AT&T MOBILITY,	
13	LLC	
14	LIMITED STATES	DISTRICT COLUT
15	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
16	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
17	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
18	KATHERINE SCOTT, CAROLYN JEWEL, and GEORGE PONTIS, individually and on behalf of	Case No. 19-cv-4063-SK
19	all others similarly situated,	DECLARATION OF TAD REYNES ISO DEFENDANTS' 12(B)(1) MOTION TO
20	Plaintiffs,	DISMISS PURSUANT TO ORDER REQUIRING FURTHER BRIEFING (DKT
21	VS.	122)
22	AT&T INC.; AT&T SERVICES, INC.; AT&T MOBILITY, LLC; TECHNOCOM CORP.; and	Location: Ctrm C, 15th Floor (San Francisco) Judge: Hon. Sallie Kim
23	ZUMIGO, INC.,	radge. Hom builte itilii
24	Defendants.	
25		
25 26		
20 27		
28		
۷۵		

DECL. OF TAD REYNES ISO DEFENDANTS' 12(B)(1) MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO ORDER REQUIRING FURTHER BRIEFING (DKT. 122) 19-cv-4063-SK

I, Tad Reynes, declare as follow:

- 1. The following facts are of my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness I could and would testify competently as to their truth. I submit this declaration in support of Defendants' AT&T Services, Inc. and AT&T Mobility, LLC's motion to dismiss as ordered by the Court on November 10, 2020. *See* Order Requiring Further Briefing, Dkt. 122.
- 2. I am employed by AT&T Mobility Services LLC as Senior Application Sales Director IoT. By virtue of this role, I am familiar with the method and manner in which AT&T Services, Inc. and AT&T Mobility, LLC (collectively, "AT&T") use and share geolocation information associated with certain devices that are not mobile phones (typically referred to as internet of things or "IoT").
- 3. On March 29, 2019, AT&T stopped providing geolocation information to data aggregators and third-party location-based service providers, which received the geolocation information through an aggregator. This process provided a one-time lookup of an AT&T mobile customer's geolocation information.
- 4. Since March 29, 2019, AT&T continues to provide geolocation information to four life-critical Internet-of-Things ("IoT") customers. The geolocation information flowing to the IoT customers is not AT&T customers' mobile phone geolocation information. The IoT customers offer a mobile personal emergency response service ("mPERS") that operates using pendants or similar devices containing dedicated IoT SIMs. AT&T provides cellular location data for the IoT SIMs contained in the mPERS pendants to these IoT companies. AT&T's customers are the IoT companies themselves and not the users of the mPERS pendants (those are the IoT companies' customers). AT&T does not know the identity of any user of the mPERS devices. Therefore, the geolocation information regarding the mPERS pendants are not AT&T customers' geolocation information.
- 5. The mPERS pendants contain both GPS functionality (that does not utilize AT&T's network location information) and an IoT SIM card associated with AT&T's network. The reason

## Case 3:19-cv-04063-SK Document 128 Filed 11/24/20 Page 3 of 4

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

28

why the pendant uses both a SIM card (which utilizes AT&T network data) and GPS functionality (which does not) is one of redundancy, to ensure that location data is provided in the event of an emergency. When a user of the life alert company is in medical distress, the individual activates the pendant. AT&T then sends the IoT customer information concerning the location of the IoT SIM card, and the IoT customer in turn dispatches emergency medical personnel to the location. AT&T does not send any location information via the GPS functionality on the pendants, nor does it have access to that information. AT&T also only sends the cellular location of the mPERS device and does not have any information that could identify the person who may have activated the device.

6. Some of the IoT entities also have mobile apps. However, AT&T does not provide any location information about customers' mobile phones to these apps. AT&T only provides cellular network geolocation information for the mPERS pendants utilizing the IoT SIM card in the pendants.

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws the United States that the foregoing is true
2	and correct.
3	Executed on this 2 day of November, 2020 at
4	Executed on this day of November, 2020 at
5	
6	
7	Tad Reynes
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	DECL. OF TAD REYNES ISO DEFENDANTS' 12(B)(1) MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT
	DECL. OF TAD REYNES ISO DEFENDANTS' 12(B)(1) MOTION TO DISMISS PURSUANT TO ORDER REQUIRING FURTHER BRIEFING (DKT. 122) 19-cv-4063-SK
	262948630v.7