Dear Commissioner Breton,
2020

Dear Commissioner Gabriel,
Dear Director General Roberto Viola,
Dear Deputy Director-General Bury,
Dear Director Abbamonte,
Dear Head of Unit Giorello,
Dear Executive Vice-President Margrethe Vestager,
Dear Executive Vice-President V?ra Jourová,

The undersigned stakeholders represent users' organizations, fundamental and digital rights organizations, the knowledge community (in particular libraries), free and open-source software developers, and users' organizations representing content creators from across the European Union.

The European Commission organized a series of Stakeholder Dialogues in line with the Directive on Copyright in the Digital Single Market (DSM Directive) Article 17 (10). We appreciate that user representation was ensured throughout the series of Stakeholder Dialogues so that we could express our concerns and preferred solutions for the transposition of Article 17.

According to the DSM Directive, the Commission shall issue guidance on the application of Article 17 after the last Stakeholder Dialogue, which is scheduled for the spring of 2020.

To ensure an adequate level of transparency, the undersigned organizations believe that the guidelines, drafted by the Commission, should not be the final step of the dialogue but instead part of the discussion. We believe that once the draft guidelines have been finalized, they should be opened to consultation with the participants of the Stakeholder Dialogues and the broader public. The purpose of this consultation should be to seek feedback on whether the document can be further improved to ensure compliance with the Charter of Fundamental Rights.

This request is based on the requirement of transparency, which is a core principle of the rule of law. This means laws are crafted under the principle of legal certainty under a transparent, accountable, and democratic process.

The DSM Directive as adopted does not provide sufficient legal certainty as to the rights and obligations of those affected by the legislation. This is why we need the guidelines: to ensure that Article 17 is transposed correctly and uniformly by member states.

The undersigned organizations would like to ensure that the guidelines are in line with the right to freedom of expression and information and also data protection guaranteed by the Charter of Fundamental Rights. We aim to ensure that the transposition of Article 17 (4) (a) (b) (c) is implemented by governments and private parties without interference of

Articles 8 and 11 of the Charter. The guidelines must ensure that the protection of "legitimate uses, such as uses under exceptions or limitations" as required by Article 17(9) of the Directive takes precedence over any measures implemented by online content-sharing service providers (OCSSPs) to comply with their obligations under 17(4) (b) (c). Automated filtering technologies can only be used if OCSSPs can demonstrate that their use does not affect legitimate uses in any negative ways.

The undersigned organizations have, on numerous occasions throughout the legislative debate and the Stakeholder Dialogue, expressed their very explicit concerns about upload filters, the exceptions and limitations and the problem of the liability regime set out in the DSM Directive.

These concerns have also been shared by the broad academic community of intellectual property scholars.

Yours sincerely,

Iuridicum Remedium
League of Human Rights

Balázs Dénes Executive Director Civil Liberties Union for Europe

ANSOL - National Association for Free Software - Portugal Antigone ITqA Article 19 Big Brother Watch Big Brother Watch Center for Democracy & Technology Centrum Cyfrowe Foundation Civil Liberties Union for Europe Coalizione Italiana per le Libertà e i Diritti civili (CILD) COMMUNIA Copyright for Creativity (C4C) D3 - Defesa dos Direitos Digitais Digital Society Forum Digitale Gesellschaft e.V. Electronic Frontier Foundation Electronic Frontier Norway epicenter.works Estonian Human Rights Centre Förderverein Informationstechnik und Gesellschaft (FITUG e.V.) Gona Hermes Center Homo Digitalis HRMT Initiative für Netzfreiheit Irish Council for Civil Liberties IT-Pol Denmark

Open Knowledge Foundation
Open Rights Group
Peace Institute
Privacy First
Rights International Spain
Safe the Internet
South East Europe Media Organisation (SEEMO)
Társaság a Szabadságjogokért
The International Federation of Library Associations and Institutions
IFLA
Vrijschrift
Xnet