1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CINDY COHN (SBN 145997) cindy@eff.org DAVID GREENE (SBN 160107) LEE TIEN (SBN 148216) KURT OPSAHL (SBN 191303) JAMES S. TYRE (SBN 083117) ANDREW CROCKER (SBN 291596) JAMIE L. WILLIAMS (SBN 279046) ELECTRONIC FRONTIER FOUNDATION 815 Eddy Street San Francisco, CA 94109 Telephone: (415) 436-9333 Fax: (415) 436-9993 RICHARD R. WIEBE (SBN 121156) wiebe@pacbell.net LAW OFFICE OF RICHARD R. WIEBE	RACHAEL E. MENY (SBN 178514) rmeny@keker.com BENJAMIN W. BERKOWITZ (SBN 244441) PHILIP J. TASSIN (SBN 287787) KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS, LLP 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111 Telephone: (415) 391-5400 Fax: (415) 397-7188 THOMAS E. MOORE III (SBN 115107) tmoore@rroyselaw.com ROYSE LAW FIRM, PC 149 Commonwealth Drive, Suite 1001 Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 813-9700 Fax: (650) 813-9777
10 11	44 Montgomery Street, Suite 650 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-3200	ARAM ANTARAMIAN (SBN 239070) antaramian@sonic.net
12	Fax: (415) 433-6382	LAW OFFICE OF ARAM ANTARAMIAN 1714 Blake Street Perkeley, CA 04702
13	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	Berkeley, CA 94703 Telephone: (510) 289-1626
14	Attorneys for Frantiffis	
15		
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
17	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
18	OAKLAND DIVISION	
19) CASE NO. 08-CV-4373-JSW
20	CAROLYN JEWEL, TASH HEPTING, YOUNG BOON HICKS, as executrix of the estate of GREGORY HICKS, ERIK KNUTZEN))) Declaration of Phillip Long
21	and JOICE WALTON, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated,)
22	Plaintiffs,) The Honorable Jeffrey S. White
23	V.	
24	NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY, et al.,))
2526	Defendants.))
27		-
28		
20		

DECLARATION OF PHILLIP LONG

Case No. 08-CV-4373-JSW

I, PHILIP LONG, declare as follows:

- 1. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth below and if called as a witness could and would competently testify thereto.
- 2. I worked for AT&T and its successor and related entities from 1972 to 1988 and from 1996 to 2015.
- 3. I am a graduate of the University of Nevada, Las Vegas in business management. I have an FCC 1st class radio license with a telegraph and radar endorsement.
- 4. In 1972 I began working for AT&T's subsidiary Nevada Bell in Las Vegas, Nevada. My position was Long Lines transmission man. I worked on microwave transmission. At that time, microwave transmission was a principal means of long distance communication.
- 5. In 1977, I transferred to San Francisco, California and began working for Pacific Bell, another AT&T subsidiary. I was stationed at 555 Pine Street but some of my work was done at AT&T's 611 Folsom Street location in San Francisco. My position was chief transmission man.
- 6. I left Pacific Bell in 1988 to work for Alameda County. My duties involved radio and microwave communications, installation, and repair.
- 7. I returned in 1996 to work for Pacific Bell. My position was senior systems technician. All of my work involved setting up, connecting, and maintaining Internet circuits, including connecting customers to AT&T's Internet backbone circuits. I was stationed in Concord, California at a Network Data Plant Service Center, a central location for managing data transmissions services. My work included responsibility for the 611 Folsom Street facility. I did work both onsite and remotely at 611 Folsom Street. Much of the work in setting up, testing, and routing circuits is now done remotely from service centers, where technicians can perform the work electronically.
- 8. My work location transferred to San Ramon, California Network Operations Center in approximately 2000. But Pacific Bell kept significant equipment in Concord, including frame relay (and later ATM) equipment that connects customers directly to the Internet backbone. These connections did not run through any facilities in San Francisco.
 - 9. The Concord frame relay connection to the Internet backbone encompassed

customers in a large region of California, including at various times locations such as Oakland, Fresno, Visalia, Bakersfield, Castaic.

- 10. Other Pacific Bell locations in Northern California had similar frame relay equipment that allowed for direct connections to the Internet backbone, including San Jose and Sacramento.
- 11. Sometime in the first half of the 2000s, we began receiving service orders that made no sense to me from an engineering or business standpoint.
- 12. We were directed to start rerouting Internet backbone connections through 611 Folsom Street, rather than through the nearest frame relay or ATM switch.
- 13. Among the rerouted connections that that I recall were the Internet backbone connections for Concord, San Jose, Sacramento, Oakland, Walnut Creek, Castaic, Bakersfield, Fresno, Visalia, Ukiah, and Reno, Nevada.
- 14. Internet backbone connections between these locations were also rerouted. For example, what had been a direct Internet backbone link between Sacramento and Los Angeles now became a link from Sacramento to 611 Folsom Street, followed by a link from 611 Folsom Street to Los Angeles. Likewise, what had been a direct Internet backbone link from Concord to Sacramento became an indirect link running from Concord to 611 Folsom Street to Sacramento.
- 15. Rerouting Internet traffic in this circuitous and indirect manner made no sense from an engineering or business standpoint.
- 16. Another example is Concord. Rather than joining the Internet backbone directly in Concord, Internet traffic arriving in Concord was first sent to 611 Folsom Street and then sent back from 611 Folsom Street to Concord, where it then connected to the Internet backbone. This round-trip was a pointless waste of circuit capacity.
- 17. Similarly, Internet traffic that had once connected to the Internet backbone in San Jose was now sent to 611 Folsom Street instead to connect to the Internet backbone there.
- 18. In addition, San Francisco-bound traffic that was once sent to 555 Pine Street was now sent to 611 Folsom Street instead, even though 555 Pine Street was a larger hub with more communications connections.

19. The effect was to centralize Internet traffic at 611 Folsom Street that previously had connected to the Internet backbone at numerous, more decentralized locations. Internet traffic was no longer being routed to the closest or most efficient point of connection to the Internet backbone.

20. I recall that we also rerouted circuits from San Diego and Los Angeles in Southern California to 611 Folsom Street to connect to the Internet backbone there. Because there are numerous Internet backbone connection points in Southern California, bringing that traffic to San Francisco to connect to the Internet backbone made no sense.

21. In my work at 611 Folsom Street in the 2000s, I became familiar with Room 641A on the sixth floor. Room 614A was always kept locked and ordinary technicians were not allowed inside. This was contrary to standard practice in every other similar facility I have ever worked in. Technicians need access to everyplace that cable runs in a facility in order to do their work.

22. I was instructed to bring fiber optic cable connected to equipment in 611 Folsom Street and leave the terminating end of the cable on the floor in front of the door to Room 641A. This is contrary to standard practice, which is to terminate fiber optic cable into a known piece of equipment. Later, we connected a fiber optic terminal jack to the end of the cable outside of Room 641A. Another fiber optic cable then ran from the fiber optic terminal jack into Room 641A.

23. In 2009, the Network Operations Center transferred to Sacramento, but it remained responsible for circuits and operations in 611 Folsom Street as well as elsewhere in Northern and Central California.

24. I continued working at the Sacramento Network Operations Center until my retirement in 2015.

// // //

Case No. 08-CV-4373-JSW

//

//

Case 4:08-cv-04373-JSW Document 417-5 Filed 09/28/18 Page 5 of 5

25. During my time at the Sacramento Network Operations Center from 2009 to 2015, the Internet circuits I have described above continued to be routed to 611 Folsom Street and to connect to the Internet backbone there.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States the foregoing is true and correct and if called as a witness I could and would so testify.

Executed on September 21, 2018.

Theely Hour